## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION P1550PCT See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. PCT/JP2004/017960 02.12.2004 03.12.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer Telephone No.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Facsimile No.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/017960

| Box | No. I | Basis of this opinion  |
|-----|-------|--|
| 1.  |       | regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was , unless otherwise indicated under this item.  |
|     |       | This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under  |
|     | -     | Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).  |
| 2.  |       | regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:   |
|     | a.    | type of material   |
|     |       | a sequence listing   |
|     |       | table(s) related to the sequence listing   |
|     | b.    | format of material   |
|     |       | in written format  |
|     |       | in computer readable form  |
|     | c.    | time of filing/furnishing  |
|     |       | contained in the international application as filed.   |
|     |       | filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   |
|     |       | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.   |
| 3.  |       | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 4.  | Add   | itional comments:  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
|     |       |  |
| l   |       |  |

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/017960

| Box |                               | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |                     |     |  |
|-----|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|-----|--|
| 1.  | Statement                     |  |                     |     |  |
|     | Novelty (N)                   | Claims   | 2, 4-11             | YES |  |
|     |                               | Claims   | 1, 3, 12, 13        | NO_ |  |
|     | Inventive step (IS)           | Claims   | 2, 4-5, 709, 11     | YES |  |
|     |                               | Claims   | 1, 3, 6, 10, 12, 13 | NO  |  |
|     | Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims   | 1-13                | YES |  |
|     |                               | Claims   | <u> </u>            | NO  |  |
|     |                               |  |                     |     |  |

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 10-303072, A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 13 November, 1998 (13.11.98), the claims, Fig. 6

Document 2: JP, 2002-25869, A (Tokin Corporation), 25 January, 2002 (25.01.02), claim 1, [0024]

Document 3: JP, 2001-210553, A (Tokin Corporation), 3 August, 2001 (03.08.01), Fig. 1

The subject matters of claims 1, 3, 12 and 13 are disclosed in claims and Fig. 6 of document 1 cited in the ISR and so do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step.

The subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 2 (claim 1 and [0024]) cited in the ISR. A person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of forming the polymer compound layer having gum elasticity disclosed in document 2 between the case and the packing of document 1.

The subject matter of claim 10 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 and document 3 (Fig. 1) cited in the ISR. A person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of forming the projected portion of the case shown in document 1 in the case of the electric double layer capacitor of document 3.

Since the subject matters of claims 2, 4, 5, 7-9 and 11 are neither disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art, they appear to be novel and to involve an inventive.